10/15/08 Presidential Debate, with Moderator, Bob Schieffer

Commentary in red by Lew Rhodes

=====

SCHIEFFER: Let's stop there, because I want to get in a question on education and I'm afraid this is going to have to be our last question, gentlemen.

The question is this: the U.S. spends more per capita than any other country on education. Yet, by every international measurement, in math and science competence, from kindergarten **through the 12th grade, we trail most of the countries of the world.**

WHY?

Note: this is the <u>problem</u> he's asking them to respond to, .and should be the criterion against which to judge their answers.

If the answers don't seem to fit the scope and nature of the problem, what does this say about their way of thinking about the system that generates the "problem?"

The implications of this are clearly obvious. Some even say it poses a threat to our national security.

Do you feel that way and what do you intend to do about it?

The question to Senator Obama first.

OBAMA: This probably has more to do with our economic future than anything and that means it also has a national security implication, because there's never been a nation on earth that saw its economy decline and continued to maintain its primacy as a military power. So we've got to get our education system right. Now, typically, what's happened is that there's been a debate between more money or reform, and I think we need both.

This answer is a symptom of what people <u>think</u> the problem is. ...and neither is sufficient

In some cases, we are going to have to invest. Early childhood education, which closes the achievement gap, so that every child is prepared for school, every dollar we invest in that, we end up getting huge benefits with improved reading scores, reduced dropout rates, reduced delinquency rates.

I think it's going to be critically **important for us to recruit a generation of new teachers**, an army of new teachers, especially in math and science, give them higher pay, give them more professional development and support in exchange for higher standards and accountability.

More indicators that *even* Obama has a "thinking" problem when it comes to understanding the scope and nature of the system that is "causing" <u>the problem.</u>

As described in the Thoughtpiece, this is the deeply embedded *Quantum Paradox* that focuses thinking on the "particles"/*people* and not the "wave" -- the *system* of interdependent processes that enable the people to play their needed roles.

So he talks about "teachers" not *teaching* – the process in which they play a critical role. An unfortunate consequence is that the national and state goal today is to have a "quality teacher" in <u>every</u> classroom, when what is needed first is to have "quality *teaching" in every classroom* regardless of the skills and experiences of the current teacher.

This is totally possible (as my MCPS observations document), and with the right support processes then can enable that person to develop into a "quality teacher" (and no children will have been left behind while it happened.)I

But to break through that system-blinding paradox, one has to first understand the nature of the work called *learning,* and how the work called *teaching* relates to it, see then them together as a system of work.

And I think it's important for us to make college affordable. Right now, I meet young people all across the country who either have decided not to go to college or if they're going to college, they are taking on \$20,000, \$30,000, \$50,000, \$60,000 worth of debt, and it's very difficult for them to go into some fields, like basic research in science, for example, thinking to themselves that they're going to have a mortgage before they even buy a house.

And that's why I've proposed a \$4,000 tuition credit, every student, every year, in exchange for some form of community service, whether it's military service, whether it's Peace Corps, whether it's working in a community.

If we do those things, then I believe that we can create a better school system. Not necessarily, because his pieces don't fit together systemically

But there's one last ingredient that I just want to mention, and that's parents. We can't do it just in the schools. **Parents are going to have to show more responsibility**. They've got to turn off the TV set, put away the video games, and, finally, start instilling that thirst for knowledge that our students need.

Yes, he's right, but then puts it on the parents to do it on their own. They, and the "system," need a shared understanding of the critical roles parents already play in the system but which aren't supported. At present, "parent *involvement* or *participation* is defined in terms of their engagement in the system of work the schools manage. Not the system of work that the student co-manages in which the parent plays a critical role and shares responsibilities with the school.

SCHIEFFER: Senator McCain?

MCCAIN: Well, it's the civil rights issue of the 21st century. There's no doubt that we have achieved equal access to schools in America after a long and difficult and terrible struggle.

But what is the advantage in a low income area of **sending a child to a failed school and that being your only choice?**

A good example of the Tribus quote I sent the other day about "fixing blame" when you don't know how the work is done. But there is a natural and sound root to the issue that he's tapping into, but not effectively dealing with.

<u>Every</u> parent feels that they are supposed to give up their natural concern for "my child" when they send him/her off to school. But it's the present ways-of-thinking about the system called school that's the problem because there are ways for the knowledge and caring that a parent uniquely has to be meaningfully part of the work of schooling. I've been seeing how that can happen.

So choice and competition amongst schools is one of the key elements that's already been proven in places in like New Orleans and New York City and other places, where we have charter schools, where we take good teachers and we reward them and promote them.

Not "proven" in either place if the measure is sustained capacity to affect kids learning.

And we find bad teachers another line of work. And we have to be able to give parents the same choice, frankly, that Senator Obama and Mrs. Obama had and Cindy and I had to send our kids to the school -- their kids to the school of their choice. Charter schools aren't the only answer, but they're providing competition. They are providing the kind of competitions that have upgraded both schools -- types of schools.

Now, throwing money at the problem is not the answer. You will find that some of the worst school systems in America get the most money per student.

So I believe that we need to reward these good teachers.

Again, the product of a way-of-thinking that Drucker would point out is framed by the wrong "*Theory-of-the-Work*. And it's based on extrinsic motivation instead of tapping into the intrinsic that's already there.

MCCAIN: We need to encourage programs such as Teach for America and Troops to Teachers where people, after having served in the military, can go right to teaching and not have to take these examinations which -- or have the certification that some are required in some states.

Look, we must improve education in this country. As far as college education is concerned, we need to make those student loans available. We need to give them a repayment schedule that they can meet. We need to have full student loan program for in-state tuition. And we certainly need to adjust the certain loan eligibility to inflation.

SCHIEFFER: Do you think the federal government should play a larger role in the schools? And I mean, more federal money?

OBAMA: Well, we have a tradition of local control of the schools and that's a tradition that has served us well. But I do think that it is important for the federal government to step up and help local school districts do some of the things they need to do.

Now we tried to do this under President Bush. He put forward No Child Left Behind. Unfortunately, they left the money behind for No Child Left Behind. And local school districts end up having more of a burden, a bunch of unfunded mandates, the same kind of thing that happened with special education where we did the right thing by saying every school should provide education to kids with special needs, but we never followed through on the promise of funding, and that left local school districts very cash-strapped.

So what I want to do is focus on early childhood education, providing teachers higher salaries in exchange for more support. Senator McCain and I actually agree on two things that he just mentioned.

Charter schools, I doubled the number of **charter schools** in Illinois despite some reservations from teachers unions. I think it's important to **foster competition inside the public schools**.

"Fostering competition" is <u>not</u> the reason why charter schools developed. And actually is contributing to their lack of support, and their erosion after their original champions leave. (Can you imagine 3M originally creating their "Skunkworks" as a way to foster competition among its departments?)

And we also agree on the need for making sure that if we have bad teachers that they are swiftly -- after given an opportunity to prove themselves, if they can't hack it, then we need to move on because our kids have to have their best future.

Where we disagree is on the idea that we can somehow give out vouchers -- give vouchers as a way of securing the problems in our education system. And I also have to disagree on Senator McCain's record when it comes to college accessibility and affordability.

Recently his key economic adviser was asked about why he didn't seem to have some specific programs to help young people go to college and the response was, well, you know, we can't give money to every interest group that comes along.

I don't think America's youth are interest groups, I think they're our future. And this is an example of where we are going to have to prioritize. We can't say we're going to do things and then not explain in concrete terms how we're going to pay for it.

And if we're going to do some of the things you mentioned, like lowering loan rates or what have you, somebody has got to pay for it. It's not going to happen on its own.

SCHIEFFER: What about that, Senator?

MCCAIN: Well, sure. I'm sure you're aware, Senator Obama, of the program in the Washington, D.C., school system where vouchers are provided and there's a certain

number, I think it's a thousand and some and some 9,000 parents asked to be eligible for that.

Because they wanted to have the same choice that you and I and Cindy and your wife have had. And that is because they wanted to choose the school that they thought was best for their children.

And we all know the state of the Washington, D.C., school system. That was vouchers. That was voucher, Senator Obama. And I'm frankly surprised you didn't pay more attention to that example.

Now as far as the No Child Left Behind is concerned, it was a great first beginning in my view. It had its flaws, it had its problems, the first time we had looked at the issue of education in America from a nationwide perspective. And we need to fix a lot of the problems. We need to sit down and reauthorize it.

But, again, spending more money isn't always the answer. I think the Head Start program is a great program. A lot of people, including me, said, look, it's not doing what it should do. By the third grade many times children who were in the Head Start program aren't any better off than the others.

WHY? The HS program has known this for years and at one time created a *Follow-Through* program to pick up the kids who couldn't fit into the *theory-of-the-work* of the school systems they entered. The difference was that the work of HS is based on a natural theory of learning, and the work of schooling has been based on an unnatural one.

Let's reform it. Let's reform it and fund it. That was, of course, out-of-bounds by the Democrats. We need to reform these programs. We need to have transparency. We need to have rewards. It's a system that cries out for accountability and transparency and the adequate funding.

And I just said to you earlier, town hall meeting after town hall meeting, parents come with kids, children -- precious children who have autism. Sarah Palin knows about that better than most. And we'll find and we'll spend the money, research, to find the cause of autism. And we'll care for these young children. And all Americans will open their wallets and their hearts to do so.

MCCAIN: But to have a situation, as you mentioned in our earlier comments, that the most expensive education in the world is in the United States of America also means that it cries out for reform, as well.

And I will support those reforms, and I will fund the ones that are reformed. But I'm not going to continue to throw money at a problem. And I've got to tell you that vouchers, where they are requested and where they are agreed to, are a good and workable system. And it's been proven.

OBAMA: I'll just make a quick comment about vouchers in D.C. Senator McCain's absolutely right: The D.C. school system is in terrible shape, and it has been for a very

long time. And we've got a wonderful new superintendent there who's working very hard with the young mayor there to try...

I have an interesting theory (based on principles in the Thoughtpiece) about why the new superintendent has been successful and what pitfalls lie ahead, but won't take up space here with it.

MCCAIN: Who supports vouchers.

OBAMA: ... who initiated -- actually, supports charters.

MCCAIN: She supports vouchers, also.

OBAMA: But the -- but here's the thing, is that, even if Senator McCain were to say that vouchers were the way to go -- I disagree with him on this, because the data doesn't show that it actually solves the problem -- the centerpiece of Senator McCain's education policy is to increase the voucher program in D.C. by 2,000 slots.

That leaves all of you who live in the other 50 states without an education reform policy from Senator McCain.

So if we are going to be serious about this issue, we've got to have a president who is going to tackle it head-on. And that's what I intend to do as president.

SCHIEFFER: All right.

MCCAIN: Because there's not enough vouchers; therefore, we shouldn't do it, even though it's working. I got it.

SCHIEFFER: All right.

(From a blog after the debate.)

School Vouchers | 11 p.m. Mr. Obama and Mr. McCain argued briefly over the issue of vouchers, which allow low-income public-school students to attend private schools with public money.

After Mr. McCain stated his strong support for vouchers, citing a program in Washington, D.C. "I've got to tell you that vouchers, where they are requested and where they are agreed to, are a good and workable system," Mr. McCain said. "And it's been proven."

Mr. Obama responded by saying that "even if Senator McCain were to say that vouchers were the way to go, I disagree with him on this, because **the data doesn't show that it actually solves the problem.**"

Mr. Obama indicated that because voucher programs are limited, they are not a comprehensive education reform policy.

And there is evidence the voucher program in Washington, which Mr. McCain touted as a "good and workable system," is not a success on its own. As an article in The

Washington Post in September reported, "Today, some 1,900 mostly low-income students citywide attend private schools with public money. Parents say they like having the choice of schools, but recent evaluations show that the program is having **no significant academic effects.**"